# An approach to assess the probability of damage when a coordinated SPD system is installed

G.B. Lo Piparo, Electrical Department Univ. of Rome "La Sapienza" Rome, Italy gblopiparo@alice.it

T. Kisielewicz, Electrical Department Warsaw Univ. of Technology Warsaw, Poland t.kisielewicz@gmail.com

*Abstract*— Aim of the paper is to give the rationale for the evaluation of the probability of damage of electrical and electronic equipment within a structure when a coordinated SPD system is installed for their protection against lightning surges.

Index Terms- Lightning protection; Surge Protective Device

### I. INTRODUCTION

Failure of electrical and electronic systems within a structure can be caused by surges arising from different sources of damage [1], namely: direct flashes to the structure (source of damage S1), flashes to ground nearby the structure (source of damage S2), flashes to power and communication lines connected to the structure due to flashes to and near the lines (sources of damage S3 and S4).

The typical protection measure suggested by the standard [2] with aim to assure the apparatus is not damaged is a coordinated system of surge protective device (SPD). For practical applications the probability with which a given SPD system will reduce the risk of failure of apparatus is a key point for their proper selection and installations.

In this subject is essential to know the stress, in terms of energy and current,, which an SPD will experience under surge conditions at its installation point.

Since the stress varies with the source of damage, also the probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  that a surge will damage an apparatus protected by an SPD system varies with the source of damage and therefore with the risk component to be reduced.

Aim of the paper is to give the rationale for the evaluation of the probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  taking into account the source of damage, the type of SPD system and the characteristics of the upstream power line and of the downstream protected circuit.

### II. PROBABILITY OF SPD SYSTEM

### A. Terms and definitions:

For the purposes of this paper the following terms and definitions apply:

 $-I_{SPD}$  is the expected current flowing through SPD at its installation point

C. Mazzetti, Electrical Department Univ. of Rome "La Sapienza" Rome, Italy carlo.mazzetti@uniroma1.it alain

A. Rousseau Protection Unit SEFTIM Paris, France alain.rousseau@seftim.fr

- $-I_{imp}$  is the current (10/350 µs) of class I test SPD
- $-I_n$  is the nominal current (8/20 µs) of class II test SPD
- $-I_{\rm pr}$  the current relevant to required protection level  $U_{\rm pr}$
- $-Q_{\text{SPD}}$  is the charge associated to  $I_{\text{SPD}}$
- $-Q_{imp}$  is the charge associated to the current  $I_{imp}$
- $-Q_n$  is the charge associated to the current  $I_n$
- $-U_{\rm SPD}$  is the voltage across SPD when the current  $I_{\rm SPD}$  is discharged
- $U_p$  is the voltage across SPD when the current  $I_{imp}$  or  $I_n$  is discharged (SPD protection level)
- $U_1$  is the voltage at the terminals of the apparatus to be protected

 $-U_{\rm w}$  is the rated impulse withstand voltage of the apparatus to be protected

–  $U_{\rm pr}$  is the SPD protection level required in order to make  $U_{\rm l} \leq U_{\rm w}$ 

### B. Probability P<sub>SPD</sub> evaluation

Failure of an apparatus protected by an SPD system may come either from:

a) residual voltage  $U_{\text{SPD}}$  exceeding the required protection level  $U_{\text{pr}}$  of SPD;

b) energy associated to the current  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  exceeding the value tolerated by the SPD.

The probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  that an overvoltage will damage an apparatus protected by an SPD system is the probability that either condition a) or condition b) will occur, being :

 $-P_{\text{SPDa}}$  the probability associated to condition a)

 $-P_{\text{SPDb}}$  the probability associated to condition b)

Condition a) will occur if the value of  $U_{\text{SPD}}$  exceeds the protection level  $U_{\text{pr}}$  of SPD, required to limit the voltage  $U_{\text{l}}$  at the terminals of the apparatus to be protected at values lower than his rated impulse withstand voltage  $U_{\text{w}}$ , taking into account:

– the inductive voltage drop  $\Delta U$  of the leads/connections of SPD,

- the effects of surge travelling along the protected circuit,

– the overvoltages  $U_i$  induced by lightning current in the protected circuit.

Condition a) may be expressed by:

$$U_{\rm SPD} > U_{\rm pr}$$
 (1)

or in terms of currents:

$$I_{\rm SPD} > I_{\rm pr} \tag{2}$$

being  $I_{pr}$  the current relevant to required protection level  $U_{pr}$ . If the value of current  $I_{pr}$  is equal to the nominal current  $I_n$  of SPD ( $I_{pr} = I_n$ ), then the required protection level  $U_{pr}$  is equal to the nominal protection level  $U_p$  of SPD ( $U_{pr} = U_p$ ).

The needed protection level  $U_{\rm pr}$  of SPD can be evaluated as reported in [2].

Condition a) should be verified with reference to the subsequent strokes of negative flashes, which represent the more severe case, as discussed in [2].

As a first approximation condition b) will occur if the charge  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  associated to  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  exceeds the tolerable one for the SPD.

If we consider that the charge for unit of current associated to the standard current 10/350 µs is  $Q_{imp} = 0.5$  C/kA and that the one associated to the standard current 8/20 µs is  $Q_n = 0.027$  C/kA, condition b) becomes:

- for SPD tested with  $I_{imp}$  (class I test)

$$Q_{\rm SPD} > Q_{\rm imp} = I_{\rm imp} / 2 \tag{3}$$

- for SPD tested with  $I_n$  (class II test)

$$Q_{\rm SPD} > Q_{\rm n} = I_{\rm n} / 37 \tag{4}$$

Condition b) should be verified with reference to the positive strokes, which represent the more severe case, as discussed in [2].

Therefore the probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  is given by:

$$P_{\rm SPD} = 1 - (1 - P_{\rm SPDa}) \cdot (1 - P_{\rm SPDb})$$
(5)

The probability  $P_{\text{SPDa}}$  that the value of  $U_{\text{SPD}}$  exceeds the required protection level  $U_{\text{pr}}$ , relevant to the current  $I_{\text{pr}}$  of the SPD, is the probability that, for the subsequent stroke of negative flashes,  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  exceed  $I_{\text{pr}}$ .

Assuming that  $P_{\text{SPDar}}$  is the probability relevant to an SPD with  $I_{\text{pr}} = I_{\text{SPD}}$ , SPD with  $I_{\text{pr}} > I_{\text{SPD}}$  will have  $P_{\text{SPDa}}$  values lower than  $P_{\text{SPDar}}$ ; SPD with  $I_{\text{pr}} < I_{\text{SPD}}$  will have  $P_{\text{SPDa}}$  values higher than  $P_{\text{SPDar}}$ .

The value of  $P_{\text{SPDa}}$  relevant to SPD with  $I_{\text{pr}} \neq I_{\text{SPD}}$  is obtained from cumulative frequency distribution of lightning current relevant to the subsequent stroke of negative flashes (see IEC 62305-1), in correspondence to the values of an equivalent current  $I_{\text{eq}}$  which depends on SPD system configuration.

The probability  $P_{\text{SPDb}}$  is the probability that the value of  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$ , relevant to positive and negative first strokes, exceed  $Q_{\text{imp}}$  or  $Q_n$  respectively for class I or class II SPD.

Assuming that  $P_{\text{SPDbr}}$  is the probability relevant to an SPD with  $Q_{\text{SPD}} = Q_{\text{imp}}$  (class I SPD) or with  $Q_{\text{SPD}} = Q_n$  (class II SPD), SPD with  $Q_{\text{imp}}$  (or  $Q_n$ ) >  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  will have  $P_{\text{SPDb}}$  values lower than  $P_{\text{SPDbr}}$ ; SPD with  $Q_{\text{imp}}$  (or  $Q_n$ ) <  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  will have  $P_{\text{SPDb}}$  values higher than  $P_{\text{SPDbr}}$ . The value of  $P_{\text{SPDb}}$  relevant to SPD with  $Q_{\text{imp}}$ (or  $Q_n$ )  $\neq Q_{\text{SPD}}$  is obtained from cumulative frequency distribution of lightning charge relevant to positive and negative first strokes (IEC 62305-1), in correspondence to the values of an equivalent charge  $Q_{\text{eq}}$  which depends on SPD system configuration.

Being the assessment of probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  based on comparison between the current  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  and charge  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  at the point of installation with those relevant to the SPD, prior evaluation of parameters  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  and  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  are needed.

### III. TYPE OF SPD SYSTEMS

As known, two main types of SPD are used for the protection against lightning surges of electrical and electronic equipment within a structure, namely switching type SPD (spark gap) and limiting type SPD (varistors). The following SPD systems are considered in this paper:

### A. SPD system consisting of only one SPD1 switching or limiting type (type S/L).

The probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  that an overvoltage will damage an apparatus protected by an SPD system type S/L is the probability that either condition a) or condition b) will occur.

Therefore the probability  $P_{\text{SPDA}}$  relevant to type S/L SPD system is:

$$P_{\text{SPD}(S/L)} = 1 - (1 - P_{\text{SPDa}}) \cdot (1 - P_{\text{SPDb}})$$
(6)

where:

 $P_{\text{SPDa}}$  is the probability that for the subsequent stroke of negative flashes  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  exceed  $I_{\text{imp}}$  or  $I_{\text{n}}$  respectively for class I or class II SPD;

 $P_{\text{SPDb}}$  is the probability that for the positive stroke and negative first strokes  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  exceed  $I_{\text{imp}}$  / 2 or  $I_{\text{n}}$  / 37 respectively for class I or class II SPD.

## *B.* SPD system consisting of two SPDs: SPD1 switching type + SPD2 limiting type (type SL).

For SPD1 condition a) is shadowed by the presence of the SPD2; as a consequence condition b) only is to be considered. Therefore  $P_{\text{SPD1}} = P_{\text{SPD1b}}$ 

For SPD2 both conditions a) and b) are to be considered. Probability  $P_{\text{SPD2}}$  can be obtained by

$$P_{\text{SPD2}} = 1 - (1 - P_{\text{SPD2a}}) \cdot (1 - P_{\text{SPD2b}})$$
(7)

The probability  $P_{\text{SPDB}}$  relevant to type SL SPD system can be obtained by

$$P_{\rm SPDB} = 1 - (1 - P_{\rm SPD1}) \cdot (1 - P_{\rm SPD2})$$
(8)

### C. SPD system consisting of two SPDs: SPD1 limiting type + SPD2 limiting type (type LL)

As in type SL system, for SPD1 condition b) only is to be considered. Therefore  $P_{\text{SPD1}} = P_{\text{SPD1b}}$ 

For SPD2 both conditions a) and b) are to be considered. Probability  $P_{\text{SPD2}}$  can be obtained by

$$P_{\text{SPD2}} = 1 - (1 - P_{\text{SPD2a}}) \cdot (1 - P_{\text{SPD2b}})$$
(9)

The probability  $P_{\text{SPDC}}$  of type LL SPD system can be obtained by

$$P_{\text{SPDC}} = 1 - (1 - P_{\text{SPD1}}) \cdot (1 - P_{\text{SPD2}})$$
(10)

The types of SPD system that can be used depend on the source of damage against which protection is required; in particular:

- SPD system type SL is suitable for sources S2, and S4. It can be used also for source S3 when the line is stricken far away from SPD

- SPD system type SL or type LL is to be used for sources S1. In fact, as reported in [1], due to high values of  $\Delta U$ , even if overvoltage  $U_i$  induced in the circuit is negligible, the distance between SPD1 and apparatus (or the value of  $U_p$ ) should be kept so low that practically in all cases installation of a downstream SPD2 is required. SPD systems type SL or type LL are to be used also for source S3 when the line is stricken close to SPD.

### IV. PARAMETERS FOR SPD PROBABILITY EVALUATION

For evaluation of probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$ , the current  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  expected at installation point of SPD and the associated charge  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  are needed.

Current  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  and associated charge  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  depend on a lot of factors among which, mainly:

- the installation point of SPD (SPD1 or SPD2);
- the source of damage (S1,S2,S3 or S4);

- the amplitude and waveform of lightning current.

Moreover  $I_{\text{SPD}}$  and  $Q_{\text{SPD}}$  depend, for SPD1, on:

- the characteristics of line on which SPD1 is installed;
- the conventional impedance of the earth arrangement (Z);
- the connected external services;

- the stricken point of the line connected to SPD (for source S3);

and for SPD2, on:

- the characteristics of circuit on which SPD2 is installed;
- the type of SPD1 (switching or limiting);

- the location of circuit relative to inducing lightning current.

### V. CASES UNDER STUDY

In the present paper source of damage S1 only, which is the most critical case, is considered.

SPD system type SL or type LL only are investigated.

For these types of SPD system current  $I_{SPD}$  and associated charge  $Q_{SPD}$  have been evaluated both for SPD1 and SPD2 in [3] and [4].

### VI. PROBABILITY $P_{\text{SPD}}$ EVALUATION

The probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  as function of factors influencing the dimensioning of the SPD system are reported in figures from Fig. 1 to 7 for some selected cases. The volt-current characteristics of the adopted limiting type SPD are reported in Fig. 8.

From Fig. 1 it is clear that  $P_{\text{SPD1}}$  of class I SPD increases with the length of the supply line; this is due to the increasing of time to half value of the current flowing through the SPD1 and then to the increasing of the associated charge.



Figure 1. Probability  $P_{\text{SPD1}}$  of class I SPD as a function of supply line length L (condition b);  $n^*n' = 4$  where n is the overall number of external conductive parts and lines, n' number of the line conductors; SPD X characteristic as in Fig. 8 is adopted.

From Fig. 2, for a given selected SPD1, the probability  $P_{\text{SPD1}}$  decreases with the number of parallel paths on which the lightning current is flowing (the current on the SPD1 is decreasing). It results that the most critical condition for probability  $P_{\text{SPD1}}$  is where the internal equipment is connected to a long one phase supply line.



Figure 2. Probability  $P_{\text{SPD1}}$  of class I SPD as a function of product  $n^*n'$  for different values of supply line length *L*; SPD X characteristic as in Figure 8 is adopted;  $I_{\text{imp}} = 12,5 \text{ kA}$ .

For the conditions of Fig.3, the probability of type SL SPD system is determined essentially by the SPD1 (as shown in Fig. 1); Where the internal circuit is longer than 50 m the effects of surge travelling along the protected circuit, determines a rapid increasing of PSPD2, which affects the overall probability PSPD of the system, as shown in Fig. 4. An increase of the  $I_{imp}$  of SPD1 has not significant influences to the overall performance of the SPD system.



SPD2	Circuit upstream SPD1	Circuit SPD1-SPD2	Circuit SPD2- apparatus
SPD2→ SPD X	$n \cdot n' = 4$	w = 0.5  m $kc = 0.5$ $d = 1  m$	w = 0,005  m $k_c = 0,5$ d = 1  m $l_c = 0,02 \text{ m}$ $l_v = 1 \text{ m}$

Figure 3. SPD system type SL. Probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  as a function of supply line length *L*);  $k_c$  lightning current sharing coefficient; d – distance between lightning current flowing in the electrical conductor and the induced circuit; w - width of the circuit; *l* length of the circuit;  $l_v$  vertical length of the circuit;  $l_c$  - length of the SPD connecting leads; SPD X characteristic as in Fig. 8 is adopted.



SPD1	SPD2	Circuit upstream SPD1	Circuit SPD1- SPD2	Circuit SPD2- apparatus
$I_{\rm imp} = 5 \text{ kA}$	SPD2→ SPD X	$n \cdot n' = 4$ $L = 500 \text{ m}$	$w = 0.5 \text{ m}$ $k_c = 0.5$ $d = 1 \text{ m}$	w = 0,005  m $k_c = 0,5$ d = 1  m $l_c = 0,02 \text{ m}$

Figure 4. SPD system type SL. - Probability  $P_{\rm SPD1}$ ,  $P_{\rm SPD2}$  and  $P_{\rm SPD}$  as a function of circuit length *l*.



SPD1	SPD2	Circuit upstream SPD1	Circuit SPD1- SPD2	Circuit SPD2- apparatus
$I_{\rm imp} = 5 \text{ kA}$	SPD2→ SPD X	$n \cdot n' = 4$	$w = 0,5 \text{ m}$ $k_c = 0,5$ $d = 1 \text{ m}$	w = 0,005  m $k_c = 0,5$ d = 1  m $l_c = 0,02 \text{ m}$ $l_v = 1 \text{ m}$

Figure 5. SPD system type SL. - Probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  as a function of circuit length l for two values of supply line length L; SPD X characteristic as in Fig. 8 is adopted.

The U-I characteristic of the selected SPD2 determines the probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  of the complete system, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7.



SPD1	Circuit upstream SPD1	Circuit SPD1-SPD2	Circuit SPD2- apparatus
$I_{imp} = 5 \text{ kA}$	$n \cdot n' = 4$	$SPD2 \rightarrow SPD X$	w = 0,005  m
-	L = 100  m	or SPD Y	$k_c = 0,5$
		w = 0.5  m	d = 1  m
		$k_c = 0,5$	$l_{\rm c} = 0,02  {\rm m}$
		d = 1  m	$l_{\rm v} = 1  {\rm m}$

Figure 6. SPD system type SL. - Probability  $P_{SPD}$  as a function of circuit length *l*, for two types of SPDs (for the characteristics of SPD X and SPD Y see Fig. 8).

In the comparison of SPD systems type SL and type LL, Fig. 7 shows that type SL SPD assure lower  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  due to lower threats that may stress SPD2 where an SPD1 of switching type is installed.



SPD1 switching	SPD1 limiting	Circuit upstream SPD1	Circuit SPD1- SPD2	Circuit SPD2- apparatus
$I_{imp} = 5 \text{ kA}$	SPD X	$n \cdot n' = 4$	$SPD2 \rightarrow$	w=0,005 m
	$I_{\rm imp} = 5 \text{ kA}$	L = 100  m	SPD Z	$k_c = 0,5$
			w = 0,5  m	d = 1  m
			$k_c = 0,5$	$l_{\rm c} = 0.02  {\rm m}$
1			d = 1  m	$l_{\rm m} = 1  {\rm m}$

Figure 7. Probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  for 2 types of SPD sistem type SL and LL as a function of circuit length l; supply line length L = 100 m (for the characteristics of SPDX and SPD Z see Fig. 8).



Figure 8. Characteristic *U-I* of three SPDs used in SPD systems (*type SL* and *type LL*).

In conclusion, in the selection of an SPD system:

- the selection of SPD1 is determined by the condition b), it means that the energy associated to the current  $I_{SPD1}$  should not exceed the value tolerated by the SPD1; such condition depends on the supply line: the current  $I_{SPD1}$  is the higher the longer the line;

- the selection of SPD2 is determined by the condition a), it means that residual voltage  $U_{\text{SPD}}$  should not exceed the required protection level  $U_{\text{p}}$  of SPD; such condition depends on the internal circuit; where its length is enough high,  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  of the system is determined by the  $P_{\text{SPD2}}$ .

### VII. CONCLUSIONS

Probability  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  by which an SPD system reduce the risk of failure of electrical and electronic equipment within a structure depends on:

- type of SPD system (one or more SPD in the system);
- type of SPD (switching or limiting);
- characteristics of the circuit between SPDs;
- ability of SPD to withstand the energy expected at the installation point;
- value of protection level  $U_p$  at the expected current able to protect the apparatus, which on turns depends on characteristics of the circuit between SPD and apparatus.

In type SL or type LL SPD system,  $P_{\text{SPD1}}$  probability depends only on the ability of SPD1 to withstand the charge  $Q_{\text{exp}}$  at the installation point, while  $P_{\text{SPD2}}$  probability of SPD2 depends both on its ability to withstand the charge  $Q_{\text{exp}}$  at the installation point and on its ability to provide the required protection level  $U_{\text{pr}}$  at the expected current  $I_{\text{exp}}$ . Therefore  $P_{\text{SPD}}$ should be evaluated by means of cumulative frequency distribution of:

- lightning charge  $Q_{\text{short}}$  relevant to positive and negative first stroke and of
- subsequent lightning impulse current.

In particular  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  depends on the rated current  $I_n$  and  $I_{\text{imp}}$  of SPD in front of the expected current  $I_{\text{exp}}$  at the installation point; as  $I_{\text{exp}}$  changes with the source of damage is wrong to assign the same value of  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  for all sources of damage.

To express  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  only in term of  $U_{\text{p}}$  is misleading: for the same value of  $U_{\text{p}}$ ,  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  changes in accordance with U/I characteristic of the considered SPD, then it is wrong to assign the same value of  $P_{\text{SPD}}$  to all SPD for a source of damage.

Furthermore, in practice, a lot of new SPD are of the combination type and different installation configurations appear; for this reason further investigations are needed to cover these cases.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] IEC 62305-1, Ed. 2,0 2010-12, "Protection against lightning Part 1: General principles"
- [2] IEC 62305-4, Ed. 2,0 2010-12, "Protection against lightning Part 4: Electrical and Electronic Systems within structures
- [3] T. Kisielewicz, F. Fiamingo, Z. Flisowski, B. Kuca, G.B. Lo Piparo, C. Mazzetti, "Factors influencing the selection and installation of surge protective devices for low voltage systems", in Proc. ICLP 2012, Wien, 7-13 September 2012
- [4] T. Kisielewicz, C. Mazzetti, G.B. Lo Piparo, B. Kuca, Z. Flisowski, "Electronic Apparatus Protection Against LEMP: Surge Threat for the SPD Selection", Paper ID 460, EMC Europe 2012, Rome, September 2012
- [5] A. Rousseau, P. Gruet, "Application of IEC 62305-2 risk analysis standard in France", IX SIPDA, Foz do Iguaçu, Brasil, 2007